

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/717,743	11/21/2000		Rajesh Ranganathan	01997/521003	1951
21559	7590	01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
CLARK & E			WOITACH, JOSEPH T		
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1632	
	•		DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/717,743	RANGANATHAN ET AL.	
ravious rodon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl il (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 December 2003. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	•	see NO I E below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second to see the second to s	•		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	,, ,		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	, , <u> </u>		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3 and 5</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 4, 6-15,	<u>17-21</u> .		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by ti	he Examiner.	
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
S. Potent and Trademati Office.	· 	- la Valtas	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/717,743

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new functional limitations proposed requires an additional search of the art and new consideration for anticipation, and consideration under 35 USC 112, first paragraph regarding adequate guidance and description of the claimed product.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed to limitations set forth in the after final amendment that have not been entered. Applicants arguments are not found persuasive because they do not apply to the pending claims. The intent to cancel claims in '622 to obviate the rejection made under 35 USC 101 is noted, however as noted by Applicants the amendment was not entered and the claims are still pending '622. Therefore the rejection is maintained.